UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,904	05/18/2006	Hajime Igarashi	HGM-001	8765
20374 KUBOVCIK &	7590 05/18/201 : KUBOVCIK	EXAMINER		
SUITE 1105		MOYER, DALE S		
ARLINGTON,	CLARK STREET VA 22202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3664	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2012	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

<b>Application No.</b> 10/595,904	Applicant(s) IGARASHI ET AL.
Examiner DALE MOYER	Art Unit 3664

Before the Fining of all Appeal Brief	DALE MOYER	3664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 May 2012</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  NO NOTICE OF APPEAL FILED						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance;						
(2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
c) A prior Advisory Action was mailed more than 3 months after the mailing date of the final rejection in response to a first after-final reply filed within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The current period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection, whichever is earlier.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINAL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. ONLY CHECK BOX (c) IN THE LIMITED SITUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The						
appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) or (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <a href="https://doi.org/10.2012/02.2012"><u>02 May 2012.</u></a> . A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS  2. M. The presented appearance filled offers a final rejection, but prior to the data of filling a brief will not be entered because						
3. The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	form for appeal by materially reducin	ng or simplifying the issues for				
appeal; and/or d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a cor	responding number of finally rejected	l claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed		filed amendment canceling the non-				
allowable claim(s).	·	•				
7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): (a) X will not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing the Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. ☐ Other: STATUS OF CLAIMS						
14. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 30 and 31.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
/KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664	/Dale Moyer/ Examiner, Art Unit 3664					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 09-2010) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: While the features required by the proposed amendment may not be anticipated by Ehlbeck et al. (US 6,092,021) the proposed claims are not patentable over Ehlbeck et al. As such, the proposed amendments will require further consideration.